



# Memorandum



Miami-Dade County Office of the Inspector General  
A State of Florida Commission on Law Enforcement Accredited Agency  
19 West Flagler Street ♦ Suite 220 ♦ Miami, Florida 33130  
Phone: (305) 375-1946 ♦ Fax: (305) 579-2656  
Visit our website at: [www.miamidadeig.org](http://www.miamidadeig.org)

To: The Honorable Carlos Alvarez, Mayor, Miami-Dade County

From: Christopher Mazzella, Inspector General

Date: December 21, 2010

Subject: MDPD's Status Reports on Its Implementation of the OIG's Audit  
Recommendations Relating to the Environmental Task Force Trust Funds  
and Audit Closeout, Ref. IG10-26.

---

The Office of the Inspector General (OIG) is in receipt of Miami-Dade Police Department's (MDPD) status report, dated October 27, 2010, and its supplemental report, dated December 3, 2010, on the above-captioned matter. The supplement was requested by the OIG because we required clarification on certain issues. The three documents (MDPD initial status report, OIG request, and MDPD supplemental report) are all included herein as Attachments A, B, and C, respectively.

MDPD's status and supplemental reports provide detail of actions that it has taken in response to the OIG's recommendations in the subject final audit report. We are pleased with the on-going efforts by MDPD to implement administrative strengthening procedures for all of its trust funds and to improve controls over the equipment and property purchased with these monies. The supplemental report was necessary, however, because MDPD's initial report was not clear on its specific intentions regarding the Florida Environmental Task Force (FETF) and South Florida Environmental Task Force (SFETF) Trust Funds (the two funds that were the subject of the audit).

MDPD made clear in the supplemental report that it does not intend to accept any new/incoming funds into the FETF and SFETF Trust Funds. The FETF has a balance of \$1,445,396 from which recurring monthly expenses (approximately \$8,000 per month for cell phones, air cards, and rental vehicles for personnel specifically assigned to conduct environmental-related investigations) are paid. The SFETF has a balance of \$102,375, which MDPD will draw from to pay overtime expenses related to environmental investigations.

As it further relates to the FETF monies, MDPD states that it will coordinate with its state, local and federal partner agencies to ensure the fair and equitable dispersal of the funds "in-line with the commitment that these funds be used to protect environmental resources through education, technology, and training of investigative personnel, as well as educating the general public." (MDPD's October 27, 2010 response on page 2.) As further delineated in the supplemental response, "MDPD will

work with partner agencies to coordinate and facilitate a smooth transition of the Trust Fund management/administration.”

Overall, the OIG finds that MDPD has adequately addressed the problematic spending of trust fund monies as identified in the audit report. We are pleased to see that MDPD will dissolve the SFETF Trust Fund once the remaining funds have been used in a manner consistent with the fund’s enabling resolution. Furthermore, the OIG is pleased that MDPD will work with its partner agencies to disperse the remaining \$1.4 million in the statewide FETF Trust Fund and/or will transition administration of the fund to another agency. With that said, the OIG, however, does take exception to two items in the status report and supplement.

First, the final audit report contained recommendations that MDPD reimburse both the FETF and SFETF Trust Funds for monies that were not used in accordance with the terms of the related enabling resolutions, which were spent on assets that can not be located or were spent on equipment used by MDPD personnel that had no connection to the activities of the task forces. In the status report, MDPD disagreed with these recommendations by explaining that it paid for the personnel costs for individuals assigned to conduct environmental investigations, and that these personnel costs have far exceeded the balances of the two environmental trust funds. Thus, MDPD reasons that its contribution of personnel costs offsets the questioned amounts identified in the audit. The OIG reiterates that the environmental trust fund monies, especially those in the FETF, were intended “to augment, not replace, [departmental] general funds.”<sup>1</sup> We continue to stand by our original recommendations that the Trust Funds be reimbursed.

Second, while we recognize that the MDPD Director had enacted a “freeze” on the FETF, MDPD, in its supplemental response, explained that the FETF’s freeze has been partially lifted in order to pay for monthly recurring expenditures (such as cell phones, air cards, and rental vehicles). Even if these types of expenditures are restricted to those personnel engaged solely in environmental crimes investigations, it still appears to go against the intent of the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) entered into with the United States Environmental Protection Agency. The MOU clearly states that each agency should provide their own vehicles and communication devices to assigned environmental task force personnel. We continue to stand by our recommendation that any remaining FETF Trust Fund monies should be used exclusively in the manner intended—that being for the training of investigative personnel, educating the general public, and technology acquisition.<sup>2</sup>

Accordingly, we consider this audit closed and resolved with exceptions.

---

<sup>1</sup> See letter from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to the MDPD Director, dated September 14, 2002, referenced as Exhibit D in the OIG Audit Report, p. 17.

<sup>2</sup> See letter from the MDPD to the United States Attorney’s Office, dated April 1, 2002, cited in the OIG Audit Report on p. 16, and letter from the U.S. EPA to the MDPD, referenced in footnote 1 above.

## Attachments

cc: Hon. Dennis C. Moss, Chairperson, Miami-Dade Board of County Commissioners  
and Members of the Board of County Commissioners  
Hon. Harvey Ruvin, Clerk of the Courts, Miami-Dade County  
Hon. Wifredo A. Ferrer, United States Attorney, Southern District of Florida  
Hon. Katherine Fernandez Rundle, State Attorney, 11<sup>th</sup> Judicial District of Florida  
Maureen O'Marra, Special Agent In Charge, U.S. EPA – CID (Atlanta)  
Mike Hill, Special Agent In Charge, U.S. EPA – OIG (Atlanta)  
Anthony Gagliardi, United States Probation Office  
George M. Burgess, County Manager  
Alina Hudak, Assistant County Manager  
James K. Loftus, Director, Miami-Dade Police Department  
Miriam Singer, Director, Department of Procurement Management  
Carter Hammer, Director, Miami-Dade Finance Department  
Cathy Jackson, Director, Audit and Management Services Department  
Charles Anderson, Commission Auditor

# Memorandum



**Date:** October 27, 2010

**To:** Christopher Mazzella, Inspector General  
Office of the Inspector General

**From:** James K. Loftus, Director  
Miami-Dade Police Department

**Subject:** Miami- Dade County Response to OIG Final Audit Report Re: *Environmental Task Force Trust Funds Administered by the Miami-Dade Police Department*, Ref. IG10-26

The Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD) has reviewed the Final Audit Report referenced above, and in response offers the following:

**Finding No. 1** MDPD unilaterally expanded the authority granted to it by the Miami-Dade Board of County Commissioners relating to the approved uses of South Florida Environmental Task Force Trust Fund monies.

#### **Recommendations:**

1. Prospectively, as a matter of sound practice for the entire County, any future resolutions pertaining to any trust fund, should clearly state BCC expectations concerning how monies should be spent and what process is required for the recipient agencies to amend such terms.

**Response:** The MDPD concurs with Recommendation #1. Future resolutions pertaining to any trust fund will clearly state BCC expectations concerning how monies should be spent and what processes are required for the recipient agencies to amend such terms.

2. MDPD must issue a new or revised resolution to the BCC, if it wishes to change the terms of any of its existing trust fund resolutions, including the Trust Funds, which are the subject of this audit. Any new or revised resolution should include a requirement for periodic reporting to Task Force members and the BCC of Trust Fund expenditures.

**Response:** The MDPD concurs with Recommendation #2. The Department does not wish to change the terms of the existing trust fund resolutions, and pledges strict adherence thereto.

3. MDPD should replenish the SFETF Trust Fund for those amounts spent on otherwise disallowed, non-environmental expenditures that were made as a result of the *ultra vires* legal opinion.

**Response:** The MDPD disagrees with Recommendation #3 with an explanation. With the exception of some training expenditures, the MDPD's expenditures and corresponding justifications complied with the Resolutions' guidelines. Regrettably, the assignment and usage of the purchases were clearly inappropriate. Improper usage of trust fund purchases is presently being addressed through reassignment within MDPD in compliance with the Resolutions or redistribution to other Task Force partners. Recurring costs such as air cards, cellular telephones and vehicle rentals have been appropriately reassigned or discontinued.

OIG  
ATTACHMENT A

In lieu of replenishing the SFETF, the MDPD makes note of the large expenditure of general fund monies to support the efforts of the Environmental Task Force. Over an eight year period, from the inception of the Environmental Trust Funds in 2002 through 2009, the MDPD paid the salaries plus fringe benefits and overtime expenses for multiple sworn officers and supervisors conducting environmental investigations at a cost of approximately \$27 million. This general fund expenditure would have been a justifiable expense under the Trust Fund Resolutions. The amount expended from the general fund to support these purely Task Force related investigations well exceeded the actual Trust Fund balances.

**Finding No. 2** MDPD's expenditure of FETF Trust Fund monies were heavily skewed in favor of supplying itself with vehicles, phones, and equipment, in contravention of its commitment to provide other Task Force member agencies with education, technology and training.

**Recommendations:**

4. MDPD must safeguard the remaining \$1.3 million in the FETF Trust Fund to ensure that any future expenditure are fairly distributed among FETF agency members and in-line with the commitment that these funds be used to protect environmental resources through education, technology, and training of investigative personnel, as well as educating the general public.

**Response:** The MDPD concurs with Recommendation #4. In February 2010, I froze capital spending from both trust funds pending the outcomes of the investigations. The MDPD will ensure that all future expenditures are fairly distributed among task force agency members and in-line with the commitment that these funds be used to protect environmental resources through education, technology, and training of investigative personnel, as well as educating the general public.

5. MDPD should replenish the FETF Trust Fund for those amounts spent on vehicles, vehicle-related expenses, and mobile communication devices, i.e., cell phones that were used exclusively by MDPD personnel or that had no connection to the activities of the FETF.

**Response:** The MDPD disagrees with Recommendation #5 with an explanation. The MDPD's expenditures and corresponding justifications complied with the Resolutions' guidelines. Regrettably, the assignment and usage of the purchases were clearly inappropriate. In response, the MDPD is reallocating remaining property and resources via a sign in/sign out procedure. State and Federal Task Force members will share the resources through a system that will accurately document the transfer and return of resources from a central location. This new system will ensure that resources are utilized and available to all State and Federal task force partners for use in investigations with a clear nexus to the environment.

Christopher Mazzella, Inspector General

October 27, 2010

Page 3

In lieu of replenishing the FETF, the MDPD makes note of the large expenditure of general fund monies to support the efforts of the Environmental Task Force. Over an eight year period, from the inception of the Environmental Trust Funds in 2002 through 2009, the MDPD paid the salaries plus fringe benefits and overtime expenses for multiple sworn officers and supervisors conducting environmental investigations at a cost of approximately \$27 million. This general fund expenditure would have been a justifiable expense under the Trust Fund Resolutions. The amount expended from the general fund to support these purely Task Force related investigations well exceeded the actual Trust Fund balances.

**Finding No. 3** MDPD overstated \$351,588 of FETF Trust Fund expenditures to federal oversight agencies.

**Recommendation:**

6. Prospectively, MDPD Command Staff must ensure the accuracy of any financial information provided to third parties.

**Response:** The MDPD concurs with Recommendation #6. All MDPD financial reporting will be provided by the Fiscal Administration Bureau which will include detailed spreadsheets reconciled with the County's financial reporting system (FAMIS).

**Finding No. 4** MDPD misled County management in requesting to purchase six hybrid SUVs using FETF Trust Funds totaling over \$293,000. The purchase also failed to follow established FETF Trust Fund procedures.

**Recommendation:**

7. MDPD must ensure that its communications regarding source of funds information is accurate and justifiable.

**Response:** The MDPD concurs with Recommendation #7. New submission procedures require review by the Fiscal, Grant and Trust Fund Unit at the beginning of the process to ensure compliance with enabling resolutions. Written procedures memorializing this protocol are currently being formalized.

**Finding No. 5** MDPD's justification of the expenditures/purchases often does not match its actual uses and/or need.

**Recommendation:**

8. MDPD must ensure that all equipment and services purchased are necessary and justified at the time of the expenditure.

Christopher Mazzella, Inspector General  
October 27, 2010  
Page 4

**Response:** The MDPD concurs with Recommendation #8. Procedures have been established and implemented to ensure necessary and justified spending. The Fiscal Administration Bureau reviews the fiscal package and supporting documentation at the front end of the review process. All expenditure approvals require a minimum of 2 signatures.

**Finding No. 6**      **MDPD does not maintain sufficient control over equipment purchased with FETF and SFETF funds.**

**Recommendations:**

9. MDPD should implement a standard operating procedure that details how the Custodian Delegate is to account for and maintain equipment assigned to his control.

**Response:** The MDPD concurs with Recommendation #9. The Fiscal Administration Bureau will assign a Project Manager at the time of a purchase request who will be responsible for adherence to Departmental inventory control procedures. In addition, all property has been engraved with the letter "E" plus the Departmental tag number. This tagging process will be incorporated into existing MDPD inventory control procedures.

10. MDPD should use some type of log to track items in the warehouse when they are initially received, and as they are later signed out and/or returned.

**Response:** The MDPD concurs with Recommendation #10. MDPD will ensure compliance with existing Departmental inventory control procedures.

11. MDPD should consider using a bar code and scanner system for tracking and accounting for capital items. The scanner would read the bar codes on the asset sticker and allow for an automated record of where a particular asset is on a particular date.

**Response:** The MDPD concurs with Recommendation #11. This recommendation will be considered should funding become available to support the software and hardware required for implementation.

12. MDPD should limit the assignment of warehouse keys to the Departmental Inventory Officer and Custodian Delegates. Written documentation should be maintained containing the name and date each key was issued, along with a return date if the key is subsequently turned back in. The MDPD should consider upgrading its security from being key-based to one using more modern and secure access controls.

**Response:** The MDPD concurs with Recommendation #12. Procedures have been implemented to limit the number of outstanding keys and to create a log for accountability purposes. To enhance the key-based entry system, the MDPD has implemented an access card system that indicates the exact time of entry, the exact time of departure and the identification of the employee entering or departing.

Christopher Mazzella, Inspector General  
October 27, 2010  
Page 5

Further upgrading of the current key-based access would be an unnecessary cost as the information provided by the addition of this new card access system provides the enhanced security controls recommended above.

13. MDPD should reimburse the appropriate trust fund for the cost of purchased items that are no longer locatable or whose disposition cannot be reasonably traced to Task Force members.

**Response:** The MDPD disagrees with Recommendation #13 with an explanation. There are nine purchased capital items that the MDPD is unable to locate, representing a purchase value of approximately \$26,000. This represents less than 1/2 a percent of the total spending from the trust funds. Current Departmental inventory procedures do not require the tagging of non capital items. All future trust fund acquisitions, whether capital or non-capital, will be engraved with the letter "E" plus the Departmental tag number. This tagging process will allow proper tracking of trust fund purchases and will be incorporated into existing MDPD inventory control procedures.

In lieu of replenishing the appropriate trust fund, the MDPD makes note of the large expenditure of general fund monies to support the efforts of the Environmental Task Force. Over an eight year period, from the inception of the Environmental Trust Funds in 2002 through 2009, the MDPD paid the salaries plus fringe benefits and overtime expenses for multiple sworn officers and supervisors conducting environmental investigations at a cost of approximately \$27 million. This general fund expenditure would have been a justifiable expense under the Trust Fund Resolutions. The amount expended from the general fund to support these purely Task Force related investigations well exceeded the actual Trust Fund balances.

**Finding No. 7** MDPD split the costs associated with related item purchases between the FETF and SFETF Trust Funds.

**Recommendation:**

14. MDPD should reclassify the accounting for the related items so that there is a consistent funding source for associated items, and MDPD should adjust the fund balances to reflect the reclassifications.

**Response:** The MDPD concurs in part with regard to Recommendation #14. Although there is nothing in the implementation of the County resolutions requiring consistent funding sources for associated items, the Department agrees that future expenditures for related items should generally come from the same funding source. However, should there be a valid reason for splitting related expenditures, specific justification language will be included in the expenditure approval request.

Christopher Mazzella, Inspector General  
October 27, 2010  
Page 5

**Finding No. 8**      **A \$250,000 settlement amount was incorrectly credited to the SFETF Trust Fund.**

**Recommendation:**

15. MDPD should transfer the \$250,000 payment received from Norwegian Cruise Lines from the SFETF Trust Fund (Index Code TFRPDENVDON) into the FETF Trust Fund (Index Code TFRPDENVTASK), as called for in the 2002 plea agreement.

**Response:** The MDPD concurs with Recommendation #15 with an explanation. The MDPD has verified the terms of the plea agreement and is currently awaiting verification from Norwegian Cruise Lines in the form of a cancelled check since deposit records from the bank are no longer available. Once confirmation is received, the \$250,000 payment received from Norwegian Cruise Lines will be transferred from the SFETF Trust Fund into the FETF Trust Fund.

JKL/jl



# Memorandum



Miami-Dade County Office of the Inspector General  
A State of Florida Commission on Law Enforcement Accredited Agency  
19 West Flagler Street ♦ Suite 220 ♦ Miami, Florida 33130  
Phone: (305) 375-1946 ♦ Fax: (305) 579-2656  
Visit our website at: [www.miamidadeig.org](http://www.miamidadeig.org)

To: James Loftus, Director, Miami-Dade Police Department  
From: Christopher Mazzella, Inspector General  
Date: November 18, 2010  
Subject: Miami-Dade Police Department's Status Report re: Office of the Inspector General's *Final Audit Report on the Environmental Task Force Trust Funds Administered by the Miami-Dade Police Department*, Ref. IG10-26

---

The Office of the Inspector General (OIG) is in receipt of Miami-Dade Police Department's (MDPD) status report, dated October 27, 2010, on the above-captioned matter (attached). The status report; however, and the discussion at the exit conference held on July 7, 2010, have given us cause to ask for clarification pertaining to the future administration of the FETF and SFETF Trust Funds.

First, please inform us whether MDPD intends to continue accepting new/ incoming funds into the FETF Trust Fund, established by Resolution R-870-00. Next, please inform us whether MDPD intends to continue accepting new/incoming funds into the SFETF Trust Fund, established by Resolutions R-129-00 and R-130-00. If your response is yes to either or both of these inquiries, please advise if MDPD will continue to use the current FAMIS project/index/ sub-object organizational structure for the accounting of these funds. If not, we would appreciate being provided the details of the new structure.

Finally, MDPD's status report provides that the remaining \$1.3 million in the FETF Trust Fund will be "fairly distributed among FETF agency members and in-line with the commitment that these funds be used to protect environmental resources..." Please provide a further explanation of the procedures and parameters that will be implemented by MDPD to ensure that this goal is successful. Also, has the spending freeze enacted in February 2010 been lifted? If so, please provide the date that spending was reinstated and supply a summary report of any distributions made of the \$1.3 million from that date through today.

Accordingly, we request a response by the MDPD to the preceding inquiries prior to evaluating MDPD's responses to the audit recommendations. Please provide a response by Friday, December 3, 2010, as we are attempting to close out this audit.

Attachment

cc: Alina Hudak, Assistant County Manager, Miami-Dade County  
Carter Hammer, Finance Director, Miami-Dade County

OIG  
ATTACHMENT B

# Memorandum



**Date:** December 3, 2010

**To:** Christopher Mazzeola, Inspector General  
Office of the Inspector General

**From:** James K. Loftus, Director  
Miami-Dade Police Department

**Subject:** Supplement to Status Report Re: *Final Audit Report on the Environmental Task Force Trust Funds Administered by the Miami-Dade Police Department*, Ref. IG10-26

---

The Miami-Dade Police Department (MDPD) offers the following in response to your Office's request for clarification pertaining to the future administration of the Florida Environmental Task Force (FETF) and South Florida Environmental Task Force (SFETF) Trust Funds:

- The MDPD does not intend to continue accepting new/incoming funds into the FETF Trust Fund. The MDPD will coordinate meetings between its State and local partner agencies, including the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida, to determine the most viable future administration/management for the FETF Trust Fund. Once these outstanding issues are resolved, the MDPD will consult with the County Attorney's Office to determine the required legislative means to affect a transfer, dissolution or other action as deemed appropriate.
- The MDPD does not intend to continue accepting new/incoming funds into the SFETF Trust Fund. The MDPD will use the remaining trust fund balance, \$102,375.08, on overtime expenditures directly related to environmental investigations conducted by Task Force participants. (See Attachment A) The SFETF will then be dissolved in a manner consistent with Miami-Dade County legislative protocol.
- Although the MDPD is not accepting any new/incoming funds into either the FETF or the SFETF Trust Funds, the Department will continue to use the current FAMIS project/index/sub-object organizational structure for the remaining accounting of these funds. A minor change was made to the structure for the SFETF under Resolutions R-129-00 and R-130-00. A new project was created to account for donations collected under R-130-00. (See Attachment B) This new procedure will allow the MDPD to maintain separate records for each project under the SFETF. In addition, two signatures are now required for any trust fund transactions. (See Attachment C)
- To ensure that the remaining funds in the FETF are fairly and appropriately distributed to agency members, MDPD officials will work with partner agencies to coordinate and facilitate a smooth transition of the Trust Fund management/administration.
- The spending freeze implemented in February 2010, has been lifted for the sole and limited purpose of paying for monthly recurring expenditures, such as, cellular telephones, rental vehicles and air cards and for other outstanding obligations to vendors established prior to the Director's imposed freeze. These limited expenditures began on April 19, 2010. (See Attachments D-K)
- Also included is the documentation of the transfer of the \$250,000 from the SFETF Trust fund to the FETF Trust Fund as requested in the Office of the Inspector General's Recommendation #15. (See Attachment L)

JKL/jl  
Attachments

OIG  
ATTACHMENT C

Project Number	Project Name	Balance
609023	SFETF Trust Fund	102,375.08
609025	FETF Trust Fund	1,445,396.22
Balances as of November 2010 including transactions in transit		

LINK TO:

PROJECTS

2:58 PM

PROJECT : 609038 INVESTIGATIO-ENVIR CRIMINAL ACT DONATION  
PROJECT DETAIL :  
TITLE : INVESTIGATIO-ENVIR CRIMINAL ACT DONATION  
LOWER LVL REQUIRED : N  
PROJECT TYPE :  
CRTTYP /FNCD CTL: 04 N FDTP/FUND/SFND/PROJ  
LOCATION :  
RESPON PERSON :  
COMMISSION DIST :  
NATNL OBJECTIVE :  
INT DIST BY PRJ : Y IDC REIMBURSMNT : N FAACS IND :  
BILLING IND : N PRIORITY 1 : PROPERTY ID:  
PROJ COMPLETE : N PRIORITY 2 : CREATE DATE: 05/24/2010  
PLAN DATES START : 05/04/2010 END : 12/31/2050 UPDATE DATE: 08/24/2010  
ACTUAL DATES START : 05/04/2010 END : 07/11/2010 STATUS IND : A  
DESCRIPTION: STATUS DATE: 08/11/2010  
PROJECT CLOSED PER DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR'S REQUEST.

F1-HELP

F2-SELECT

F4-PRIOR

F5-NEXT

F9-LINK

G014 - RECORD FOUND

Memorandum



**Date:** May 4, 2010

**To:** Blanca Padron, Controller  
Miami-Dade Finance Department

**From:** Gustavo Knoepffler *GK*  
Chief Financial Officer  
Miami-Dade Police Department

**Subject:** Request to Establish a Project

This is a request to update the signatures of the previously established project.

Fund: 600 Subfund: 601 Effective: May 6, 2010

Authority to establish: R-130-00

Purpose for the Project: Trust fund for the receipt and expenditures of governmental and privately donated funds for the investigation of environmental criminal activity within Miami-Dade County.

Project Number: 60938

Title of Project: Investigation of Environmental Criminal Activity

Revenue Index Code:

TFRPDENVDON2 Revenue-Governmental and Privately Donated Funds Environmental investigations.

TFRPDIENVDON Interest-Governmental and Privately Donated Funds

Nature of Expenditures: Expenditures required to support the overall task force operations.

Expenditure Index Codes:

TFEPDENVDON2 Expenditures- Investigation of Environmental Criminal Activity - Donations.

List below the authorized signatures necessary to make a disbursement from this project.

<u>Department</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Signature</u>
MDPD	<u>Commander</u>	<u>Joy Stewart</u>	<i>Joy Stewart</i>
MDPD	<u>Manager</u>	<u>Jorge Bello</u>	<i>Jorge Bello</i>
MDPD	<u>Accountant 3</u>	<u>Elizabeth Rios-Perez</u>	<i>Elizabeth Rios-Perez</i>

## **Rios-Perez, Elizabeth**

---

**From:** Mc Cully, Annette  
**Sent:** Monday, April 19, 2010 3:03 PM  
**To:** Cespedes, Graciela (FIN)  
**Cc:** Padron, Blanca (FIN); Diaz, Dania (FIN); Del Rio, Nieves (FIN); Madrigal, Lori (FIN); Stewart, Joy T.; Alfonso, Alex A.; Bello, Jorge; Rossman, Randall L.; Rios-Perez, Elizabeth; Knoepffler, Gustavo; Mc Cully, Annette; Hudak, Alina T. (CEO); Lares, Christina (CEO)  
**Subject:** Trust Fund Accounts

Grace - Good Afternoon –

MDPD is requesting that Project 609023, index code #TFEPDENVCORT and index code #TFEPDENVDON, and Project 609025, index code #TFEPDENVTASK and index code #TFEPDFLTASK, be opened for processing payments for the below stated documentation:

- MDPD needs to process payment to Aware Digital Inc. for one invoice totaling \$42,253.95. The equipment was ordered in December 2009 as an addendum to PO#POPD0900409. All items have been received, inventoried, and are in the possession of the MDPD Environmental Crimes Unit, for specific use on investigations within the environmental purview. The Finance Department is in possession of updated MDPD authorized signature forms, and the outstanding invoice has been signed by the appropriate signatories. (see attached documentation).
- MDPD has monthly recurring expenditures for cellular telephones, rental vehicles, and air cards used with video cameras. Each of the related accounts have been reviewed, with revisions and appropriate assignments completed. The equipment is used by personnel specifically assigned environmental related investigations.

MDPD will ensure that appropriate signatures and documentation accompany all paperwork forwarded to Miami-Dade County Finance Department for processing. If you should have further questions or concerns regarding this issue, please contact Gustavo Knoepffler, Chief Financial Officer, at 305-471-3578. Your consideration of this matter is greatly appreciated.

James K. Loftus, Director/am  
Miami-Dade Police Department

---

Think Green. Please only print this e-mail if you need to.

---

Miami-Dade County is a public entity subject to Florida Statutes Chapter 119, Public Records. E-mail messages are subject to public records disclosure, and with limited exceptions are not exempt from chapter 119.

Attachment D

LINK TO:

PROJECTS

2:58 PM

PROJECT : 609025 THE FL ENVIRONMENTAL TASK FORCE TR FD  
 PROJECT DETAIL :  
 TITLE : THE FL ENVIRONMENTAL TASK FORCE TR FD  
 LOWER LVL REQUIRED : N  
 PROJECT TYPE :  
 CRTTYP /FNCD CTL: 04 N FDTP/FUND/SFND/PROJ  
 LOCATION :  
 RESPON PERSON :  
 COMMISSION DIST :  
 NATNL OBJECTIVE :  
 INT DIST BY PRJ : Y IDC REIMBURSMNT : N FAACS IND :  
 BILLING IND : N PRIORITY 1 : PROPERTY ID:  
 PROJ COMPLETE : N PRIORITY 2 : CREATE DATE: 08/24/2000  
 PLAN DATES START : 07/25/2000 END : 12/31/2050 UPDATE DATE: 11/04/2010  
 ACTUAL DATES START : 07/25/2000 END : 01/23/2011 STATUS IND : A  
 DESCRIPTION: STATUS DATE: 07/14/2010  
 PROJECT CLOSED PER DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR'S REQUEST.

F1-HELP

F2-SELECT

F4-PRIOR

F5-NEXT

F9-LINK

6014 RECORD FOUND

# Memorandum



**Date:** May 6, 2010  
**To:** Blanca Padron, Controller  
Miami-Dade Finance Department  
**From:** Gustavo Knoepffler   
Chief Financial Officer  
Miami-Dade Police Department  
**Subject:** Signature Update

This is a request to update the signatures of the previously established project.

Fund: 600 Subfund: 601 Effective: May 6, 2010

Authority to establish: R-870-00

Purpose for the Project: Trust fund for the receipt and expenditures of court awarded payments, governmental and privately donated funds for the investigation of environmental criminal activity within the State of Florida.

Project Number: 609025

Title of Project: Florida Environmental Task Force

Revenue Index Code:

TFRPDENVTASK Revenue-Court Ordered, Governmental & Privately Donated Funds.



TFRPDINTENVT Interest-Florida Environmental Task Force

Nature of Expenditures: Expenditures required to support the overall task force operations.

Expenditure Index Codes:

TFEPDENVTASK Expenditures- State of Florida Task Force Environmental Crimes Investigations.

List below the authorized signatures necessary to make a disbursement from this project.

<u>Department</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Signature</u>
<u>MDPD</u>	<u>Commander</u>	<u>Joy Stewart</u>	
<u>MDPD</u>	<u>Manager</u>	<u>Jorge Bello</u>	
<u>MDPD</u>	<u>Accountant 3</u>	<u>Elizabeth Rios-Perez</u>	

LINK TO:

PROJECTS

2:58 PM

PROJECT : 609023 INVESTIGATION OF ENVIR CRIMINAL ACTIVITY  
PROJECT DETAIL : *The South Florida Environmental Trust Fund.*  
TITLE : INVESTIGATION OF ENVIR CRIMINAL ACTIVITY  
LOWER LVL REQUIRED : N  
PROJECT TYPE :  
CRTTYP /FNCD CTL: 04 N FDTP/FUND/SFND/PROJ  
LOCATION :  
RESPON PERSON :  
COMMISSION DIST :  
NATNL OBJECTIVE :  
INT DIST BY PRJ : Y IDC REIMBURSMNT : N FAACS IND :  
BILLING IND : N PRIORITY 1 : PROPERTY ID:  
PROJ COMPLETE : N PRIORITY 2 : CREATE DATE: 03/10/2000  
PLAN DATES START : 03/01/2000 END : 12/31/2050 UPDATE DATE: 10/09/2010  
ACTUAL DATES START : 03/01/2000 END : 01/23/2010 STATUS IND : A  
DESCRIPTION: STATUS DATE: 07/14/2010  
PROJECT CLOSED PER DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR'S REQUEST.

F1-HELP

F2-SELECT

F4-PRIOR

F5-NEXT

F9-LINK

G014 - RECORD FOUND

County Government Information  
**Memorandum**



**Date:** May 6, 2010  
**To:** Blanca Padron, Controller  
Miami-Dade Finance Department  
**From:** Gustavo Knoepffler   
Chief Financial Officer  
Miami-Dade Police Department  
**Subject:** Signature Update

This is a request to update the signatures of the previously established project.

Fund: 600 Subfund: 601 Effective: May 6, 2010

Authority to establish: R-129-00 #

Purpose for the Project: Trust fund for the receipt and expenditures solely of court ordered payments for the investigation of environmental criminal activity within Miami-Dade County.

Project Number: 609023

Title of Project: Investigation of Environmental Criminal Activity

Revenue Index Code:

TFRPDENVCORT Revenue-Court Ordered Environmental payments.




TFRPDIECAINT Interest-Court Ordered Payments

Nature of Expenditures: Expenditures required to support the overall task force operations.

Expenditure Index Codes:

TFEPDENVCORT Expenditures- Investigation of Environmental Criminal Activity –Court Ordered Payments.

List below the authorized signatures necessary to make a disbursement from this project.

<u>Department</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Signature</u>
<u>MDPD</u>	<u>Commander</u>	<u>Joy Stewart</u>	
<u>MDPD</u>	<u>Manager</u>	<u>Jorge Bello</u>	
<u>MDPD</u>	<u>Accountant 3</u>	<u>Elizabeth Rios-Perez</u>	

FETF

TFEPDENVTASK EXPENDITURES FROM APRIL 2010-SEPTEMBER 2010			
AMOUNT	INVOICE NUMBER	DATE TO FINANCE	VENDOR/DESCRIPTION
9,023.88	JDPD1000318	12/31/09	VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR IGB OCT 09
3,294.08	JDPD1000318	12/31/09	MOTOR FUEL FOR IGB OCT 09
(9,023.88)	JDPD1001309	08/12/10	REVERSE JDPD1000318 FOR OCT 09
(3,294.08)	JDPD1001309	01/11/10	REVERSE JDPD1000318 FOR OCT 09
9,023.88	JDPD1001336	08/17/10	VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR SEPT 15-OCT 16, 2009
3,424.29			FUEL FOR SEPT 15-OCT 16, 2009
(180.48)	JDPM1000127	09/16/10	(180.48) AUG UAP REIMB
9,023.88	JDPD1001335	08/17/10	VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR OCT 15-NOV 30,09
4,214.66			MOTOR FUEL FOR OCT 15,-NOV 30,09
(180.48)	JDPM1000127	09/16/10	(180.48) AUG UAP REIMB
9,023.88	JDPD1000326		VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR IGB NOV 09
4,106.25	JDPD1000326	01/11/10	MOTOR FUEL FOR IGB NOV 09
(9,023.88)	JDPD1001308	08/12/10	REVERSE JDPD1000326 FOR NOV09
(4,106.25)	JDPD1001308		REVERSE JDPD1000326 FOR NOV09
9,023.88	JDPD1001160	07/20/10	VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR CIAB NOV 14-DEC 31,10
3,330.08			MOTOR FUEL FOR CIAB NOV 14-DEC 31, 10
(180.48)	JDPM1000127	09/16/10	(180.48) AUG UAP REIMB
9,023.88	JDPD1001161	07/20/10	VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR CIAB DEC 14-JAN 30,10
3,126.51			MOTOR FUEL FOR CIAB DEC 14-JAN 30, 10
(180.48)	JDPM1000127	09/16/10	(180.48) AUG UAP REIMB
3,569.96	JDPD1001162	07/20/10	VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR CIAB JAN 13-FEB 28, 10
1,482.60			MOTOR FUEL FOR CIAB JAN 13-FEB 28, 10
(71.40)	JDPM1000127	09/16/10	(71.40) AUG UAP REIMB
3,561.64	JDPD1001163	07/20/10	VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR CIAB FEB 12-MAR 31,10
1,410.76			MOTOR FUEL FOR CIAB FEB 12-MAR 31,10
(71.24)	JDPM1000127	09/16/10	(71.24) AUG UAP REIMB
3,561.64	JDPD1001164	07/20/10	VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR CIAB MAR 11-APR 30, 10
1,143.46			MOTOR FUEL FOR CIAB MAR 11-APR 30, 10
(71.24)	JDPM1000127	09/16/10	(71.24) AUG UAP REIMB
3,561.64	JDPD1001165	07/20/10	VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR CIAB APRIL 13-MAY 31,10
1,388.92			MOTOR FUEL FOR CIAB APRIL 13-MAY 31,10
(71.22)	JDPM1000127	09/16/10	(71.22) AUG UAP REIMB
3,561.64	JDPD1001391	09/03/10	VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR JUNE 1-30, 2010
896.83			MOTOR FUEL FOR JUNE 1-30, 2010
(71.24)	JDPM1000159	10/04/10	(71.24) SEPT UAP REIMB
3,561.64	JDPD1001448	09/23/10	VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR JULY 1-31, 2010
1,042.51			MOTOR FUEL FOR JULY 1-31, 2010
(71.24)	JDPM1000159		(71.24) SEPT UAP REIMB
3,561.64	JDPD1001449	09/23/10	VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR AUGUST, 2010
1,200.59			MOTOR FUEL FOR AUGUST , 2010
(71.24)	JDPM1000159		(71.24) SEPT UAP REIMB
3,561.64	JDPD1001595	10/06/10	VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR SEPTEMBER, 2010
797.93			MOTOR FUEL FOR SEPTEMBER , 2010
(71.24)			(71.24) SEPT UAP REIMB

Attachment I

FETF

TFEPDFTASK EXPENDITURES FROM APRIL 2010-SEPTEMBER 2010					
	Amount	Document/Invoice Number	Invoice Date	Date to Finance	Vendor/Description
POPDO900800	1,212.83	996808549X02142010	02/06/10	05/07/10	AT&T MOBILITY 01/07/10-02/06/10 TOTAL INVOICE \$1,562.92, SPLIT TO PD560409 \$308.09 PD560896 \$42.00
	(24.25)	JDPM1000118		08/13/10	(\$24.25) MAY UAP RIEMB
	1,468.79	996808549X12142009	12/06/09	05/07/10	AT&T MOBILITY 11/7/09-12/6/09 TOTAL INVOICE \$1909.44, SPLIT TO PD560409 \$440.65
	(29.38)	JDPM1000118		08/13/10	(\$29.38) MAY UAP RIEMB
	1,766.57	996808549X04142010	04/06/10	06/04/10	AT&T MOBILITY 03/07/10-04/06/10 TOTAL INVOICE \$1766.57, SPLIT TO PD560409 \$163.98
	(35.33)	JDPM1000118		08/13/10	(35.33) JUN UAP RIEMB
	2,308.13	996808549X05142010	05/06/10	06/04/10	AT&T MOBILITY 04/07/10-05/06/10 TOTAL INVOICE \$2308.13, SPLIT TO PD560409 \$121.98
	(46.16)	JDPM1000118		08/13/10	(46.16) JUN UAP RIEMB
	(42.13)	6345543			CELL PHONE OVERAGES 305) 986-2615, MICHAEL MALLON, APR-MAY, 2010
	2,093.49	996808549X06142010	06/06/10	07/14/10	AT&T MOBILITY 05/07/10-06/06/10 TOTAL INVOICE \$2,215.77 SPLIT TO PD560409 \$121.98
	(41.87)	JDPM1000118		08/13/10	(41.87) JULY UAP RIEMB
	(33.01)	6345546		08/02/10	CELL PHONE OVERAGES (786) 367-6906, CERDA, MAY-JUN, 2010
	(56.01)	6345544		08/02/10	CELL PHONE OVERAGES (786) 402-1093, ALBERT MARTINEZ
	1,930.14	996808549X07142010	07/06/10	08/04/10	AT&T MOBILITY 06/07/10-07/06/10 TOTAL INVOICE \$1,930.14 SPLIT TO PD560409 \$211.96
	(29.54)	6345545		08/02/10	CELL PHONE OVERAGES (305) 219-4237, JUNE-JULY, 2010, JONH THOMES
	(22.69)	6345547		08/02/10	CELL PHONE OVERAGES (786) 367-6906, JUNE-JULY, 2010, CANDIO CERDA
	(38.60)	JDPM1000127		09/16/10	(38.60) AUG UAP RIEMB
	1,809.16	996808549X08142010		09/23/10	AT&T MOBILITY 07/07/10-08/06/10
	(36.19)	JDPM10000159		10/04/10	TOTAL INVOICE \$1943.80 SPLIT TO PD560409 \$134.64 (36.19) SEPT UAP RIEMB
	9,759.78	152905414-092		11/04/09	SPRINT SOLUTIONS INC
	(10.13)	JDPD1001011		06/16/10	SEPT 15-OCT 14, 09
	8,746.24	152905414-093		12/21/09	CELL PHONE OVERAGES TRANSFER FROM TFRPDENVTASK (10.13) SPRINT SOLUTIONS INC
	(160.21)	JDPD1001011		06/16/10	OCT 15-NOV 14, 09 CELL PHONE OVERAGE TRANSFER FROM TFRPDENVTASK (160.21)
	(198.64)	JDPD1001011		06/16/10	SPRINT SOLUTIONS INC JULY 15, 2009-AIG 14, 09
	4,979.66	152905414-095	01/18/10	05/07/10	TRANSFER FROM TFRPDENVTASK (198.64) SPRINT SOLUTIONS INC, DECEMBER 15, 10-JANUARY 14, 10 TOTAL INVOICE IS \$6057.83 PD560409 \$899.42, AIRCARD \$836.80 AND PD560896 \$178.75

Attachment J (page 1)

TFEPDLTASK	EXPENDITURES FROM APRIL 2010-SEPTEMBER 2010				
	Amount	Document/Invoice Number	Invoice Date	Date to Finance	Vendor/Description
	(5.19)	37204		06/11/10	CELLPHONE OVERAGES
	(1.50)	DPD1001011		06/16/10	TRANSFER FROM TFRPDENVTASK (1.50)
	693.54	510396291-014	01/20/10	05/07/10	SPRINT SOLUTIONS INC, DECEMBER 17,09-JANUARY 16,10 17 AIRCARDS
	5,676.81	152905414-096	02/18/10	05/07/10	SPRINT SOLUTIONS INC, JANUARY 15,10-FEBRAURY 14,10 TOTAL INVOICE \$6647.17, SPLIT W/PD560409 \$791.61, PD560896 \$178.75, AIRCARD \$878.00
	647.82	510396291-015	02/20/10	05/07/10	SPRINT SOLUTIONS INC, JANUARY 17,10-FEBRAURY 16,10 15 AIRCARDS
	5,607.33	152905414-097	03/18/10	05/07/10	SPRINT SOLUTIONS INC, FEBRUARY 15,10-MARCH 14, 10 TOTAL INVOICE \$6395, SPLIT W/ PD560409 \$787.67, AIRCARD OF \$792
	490.52	287019283067X02142010	02/06/10	06/04/10	AT&T MOBILITY , 01/22/10-02/06/10 17 CAMERAS AIR CARDS
	901.25	287019283067X03142010	03/06/10	09/23/10	AT&T MOBILITY ,02/07/10-03/06/10 16 CAMERAS AIR CARDS
	900.96	287019283067X04142010	04/06/10	06/04/10	AT&T MOBILITY , 03/07/10-04/06/10 17 CAMERAS AIR CARDS
	226.59	287020685202X04142010	04/06/10	06/04/10	AT&T MOBILITY 03/25/10-04/06/10 PERSONNEL AIR CARDS
	902.34	287019283067X05142010	05/06/10	06/04/10	AT&T MOBILITY , 04/07/10-05/06/10 17 CAMERAS AIR CARDS
	485.44	287020685202X05142010	05/06/10	06/04/10	AT&T MOBILITY , 04/07/10-05/06/10, PERSONNEL AIR CARDS
	900.50	287019283067X06142010	06/06/10	07/14/10	AT&T MOBILITY 05/07/10-6/06/10 17 CAMERAS AIR CARDS
	768.43	510396291-013	12/20/10	07/14/10	SPRINT SOLUTIONS INC, NOVEMBER 17-DECEMBER 16,09 17 CAMERAS AIR CARDS
	365.04	287020685202X06142010	06/06/10	07/19/10	AT&T MOBILITY 05/07/10-06/06/10 PERSONNEL AIR CARDS
	343.76	287020685202X07142010	06/06/10	07/06/10	AT&T MOBILITY 06/07/10-07/06/10 PERSONNEL AIR CARDS
	847.00	287019283067X07142010	06/07/10	07/06/10	AT&T MOBILITY, 06/07/10-07/06/10 17 CAMERAS AIR CARDS
	1,095.31	287019283067X08142010	08/06/10	09/10/10	AT&T MOBILITY 07/07/10-08/06/10 17 CAMERAS AIR CARDS
	445.20	287020685202X08142010	08/06/10	09/10/10	AT&T MOBILITY 07/07/10-08/06/10 PERSONNEL AIR CARDS

SFETH

TFEPDENVCORT EXPENDITURES FROM APRIL 2010-SEPTEMBER 2010							
TRANSACTION	DATE REQUESTED	Amount	DOCUMENT/INVOICE NUMBER	INVOICE DATE	DATE TO FINANCE	VENDOR/DESCRIPTION	
APFD0900018	9/16/2009	36,126.00	357	06/11/10	06/16/10	CHARNOS CUSTOM RIDES INC	
		(722.52)	JDPM1000118		08/13/10	MOBILE SHOWER/RESTROOM TRAILER	
							(\$722.52) UAP REIMB
		4,688.24	JDPD1000325		01/11/10	VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR NOV 09	
		2,103.96	JDPD1000325		01/11/10	VEHICLE FUEL CHRGR FOR NOV 09	
		(4,688.24)	JDPD1000701		04/01/10	REVERSE JDPD1000325 FOR NOV	
		(2,103.96)	JDPD1000701		04/01/10	REVERSE JDPD1000325 FOR NOV	
REQUEST	10/28/2009	42,253.95	AWLJ1511	02/22/10	04/23/10	AWARE DIGITAL	
POFD0908489	12/1/2009					DIGITAL VIGILANT REDIRECTION SERVER	
						CAMOUFLAGE FIBERGLASS WEATHERPROOF ENCLOSURES	
						10 ANCIALLARY PROWER TAPS	
						4 DOTWORKZ MODULASR CAMERA HOUSING	
						2 VIGILANT VIDEO IR/COLOR LPR CAMERA	
						P O INDECODE CHANGED AS PER EMAIL	
	6/29/2010	83.34	MEGS10041922			JUN 2010 FLEET MGMT INTERFACE	
						INSURANCE FOR 2 TRAILERS	
	9/24/2010	83.34	MEGS10055021			SEPT 2010 FLEET MGMT INTERFACE	
						INSURANCE FOR 2 TRAILERS	
	9/28/2010	64.80				OCTOBER 2009 FLEET MGMT INSURANCE FOR 2 TRAILERS	
		583.36				NOV 2009- MAY 10 FLEET MGMT INSURANCE FOR 2 TRAILERS	
		83.34				JULY 2010 FLEET MGMT INSURANCE FOR 2 TRAILERS	
		83.34				AUG 2010 FLEET MGMT INSURANCE FOR 2 TRAILERS	
		1,472.94	JDPD1001326	03/29/10	08/17/10	7 VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR OCT 09	
		1,196.91	JDPD1001326		08/17/10	7 VEHICLE FUEL CHRGR FOR OCT 09	
		(29.46)	JDPM1000127		09/16/10	(\$29.46) AUG UAP REIMB	
		1,136.94	JDPD1001326	03/29/10	08/17/10	6 VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR NOV09	
		1,113.89	JDPD1001326		08/17/10	6 VEHICLE FUEL CHRGR FOR NOV 09	
		(22.74)	JDPM1000127		09/16/10	(22.74) AUG UAP REIMB	
		1,136.94	JDPD1001327	03/29/10	08/17/10	5 VEHICLES RENTAL CHRGR FOR DEC 2009	
		947.81	JDPD1001327		08/17/10	5 VEHICLE FUEL CHRGR FOR DEC 2009	
		(22.74)	JDPM1000127		09/16/10	(22.74) AUG UAP REIMB	

TFEPDENVCORT		EXPENDITURES FROM APRIL 2010-SEPTEMBER 2010				
TRANSACTION	DATE REQUESTED	Amount	DOCUMENT/INVOICE NUMBER	INVOICE DATE	DATE TO FINANCE	VENDOR/DESCRIPTION
		1,136.94	JDPD1001328	03/29/10	08/17/10	5 VEHICLES RENTAL CHRG FOR JAN 2010
		795.06	JDPD1001328		08/17/10	5 VEHICLE FUEL CHRG FOR JAN 2010
		(22.74)	JDPM1000127		09/16/10	(22.74) AUG UAP REIMB
		1,022.92	JDPD1001329	04/13/10	08/17/10	5 VEHICLES RENTAL CHRG FOR FEB 2010
		1,024.37	JDPD1001329	04/13/10	08/17/10	5 VEHICLE FUEL CHRG FOR FEB 2010
		(20.46)	JDPM1000127		09/16/10	(20.46) AUG UAP REIMB
		738.64	JDPD1001338	06/04/10	08/18/10	2 VEHICLES RENTAL CHRG FOR MARCH 2010
		496.62	JDPD1001338	06/04/10	08/18/10	2 VEHICLE FUEL CHRG FOR MARCH 2010
		(14.78)	JDPM1000127		09/16/10	(14.78) AUG UAP REIMB
		738.64	JDPD1001330	06/04/10	08/17/10	2 VEHICLES RENTAL CHRG FOR APR 2010
		374.97	JDPD1001330		08/17/10	2 VEHICLE FUEL CHRG FOR APR 2010
		(14.78)	JDPM1000127		09/16/10	(14.78) AUG UAP REIMB
		738.64	JDPD1001331	06/23/10	08/17/10	2 VEHICLES RENTAL CHRG FOR MAY 2010
		400.79	JDPD1001331		08/17/10	2 VEHICLE FUEL CHRG FOR MAY 2010
		(14.78)	JDPM1000127		09/16/10	(14.78) AUG UAP REIMB
		738.64	JDPD1001332	07/26/10	08/17/10	2 VEHICLES RENTAL CHRG FOR JUNE 2010
		484.03	JDPD1001332	07/26/10	08/17/10	2 VEHICLE FUEL CHRG FOR JUNE 2010
		(14.74)	JDPM1000127		09/16/10	(14.78) AUG UAP REIMB
	8/24/2010	(12,236.85)	DDPD1000225	8/20/1010		FDA REIMB. FOR EQUIPMENT PURCHASE IN 2008. AS PER 2006 AGGREETMNT B/T MDPD AND FDA POPD0800618/POPD0800738/POPD0801411
	WIRE TRANSFER					
REQUEST	8/11/2010					OFFICIAL REPORTING SERV, COURT REPRORTING SERVICES
FOPD1001467	8/27/2010					NAB MEETING, JULY 1, 2010-SEPTEMBER 30, 10 800.00 LIQUIDATED PAID WITH GF
		738.64	JDPD1001447	08/17/10	09/23/10	2 VEHICLES RENTAL CHRG FOR JULY 2010
		552.02	JDPD1001447	08/17/10	09/23/10	2 VEHICLE FUEL CHRG FOR JULY 2010
		(14.78)	JDPM1000159			(14.78) SEPT UAP REIMB
		738.64	JDPD1001450	09/23/10	09/23/10	2 VEHICLES RENTAL CHRG FOR AUGUST 2010
		586.42	JDPD1001450	09/23/10	09/23/10	2 VEHICLE FUEL CHRG FOR AUGUST 2010
		(14.78)	JDPM1000159			(14.78) SEPT UAP REIMB
		738.64	JDPD1001564	09/29/10	09/30/10	2 VEHICLES RENTAL CHRG FOR SEPTEMBER 2010
		427.82	JDPD1001564	09/29/10	09/30/10	2 VEHICLE FUEL CHRG FOR SEPTEMBER 2010
		(14.78)	JDPM1000159			(14.78) SEPT UAP REIMB

